

### OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER CITY OF ST. LOUIS



Internal Audit Section

Carnahan Courthouse Building 1114 Market St., Room 608 St. Louis, Missouri 63101 (314) 622-4723 Fax: (314) 613-3004

November 30, 2006

Nicholas B. Clifford, President Board of Directors Gateway Homeless Services, formerly Christian Service Center 1000 N. 19<sup>th</sup> Street St. Louis, MO 63106

RE: Fiscal Monitoring Report of Christian Service Center, Homeless Shelter Program (#2007-AHC01) (Contract #30-05G)

Dear Mr. Clifford:

Enclosed is a report of our fiscal monitoring review of the Christian Service Center (Document #50226) for the period January 1, 2005 through January 31, 2006. The scope of a fiscal monitoring review is substantially less than an audit, and as such, we do not express an opinion on the financial operations of Christian Service Center. Our fieldwork was completed on October 25, 2006.

This review was made under authorization contained in Section 2, Article XV of the Charter, City of St. Louis, as revised and through an agreement with the Affordable Housing Commission to provide fiscal monitoring to all grant subrecipients. If you have any questions, please contact Charles Schroeder at 589-6089.

Sincerely,

Sedrick D. Blake, CPA Internal Audit Executive

Enclosure

cc: Robert Nelson, Executive Director, Gateway Homeless Services

uck D. Blake

Angela Morton Conley, Executive Director, Affordable Housing Commission



## CITY OF ST. LOUIS

AFFORDABLE HOUSING COMMISSION

GATEWAY HOMELESS SERVICES

DOCUMENT #50226

CONTRACT #30-05G

FISCAL MONITORING REVIEW

JANUARY 1, 2005 THROUGH JANUARY 31, 2006

PROJECT #2007-AHC1

DATE ISSUED: NOVEMBER 30, 2006

Prepared by:
The Internal Audit Section



### OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER

HONORABLE DARLENE GREEN, COMPTROLLER

## CITY OF ST. LOUIS AFFORDABLE HOUSING COMMISSION (AHC) CHRISTIAN SERVICE CENTER DOCUMENT #50226 FISCAL MONITORING REVIEW

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

JANUARY 1, 2005 THROUGH JANUARY 31, 2006

<u>Description</u>	Page(s)
INTRODUCTION	
Background	1
Purpose	1
Scope and Methodology	1
CONCLUSION AND SUMMARY OF OBSERVATIONS	
Conclusion	2
Status of Prior Observations	2
Summary of Current Observations	2
DETAIL OBSERVATIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS,	
AND MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSES	3-4

#### INTRODUCTION

#### Background

Contract Name:

Christian Service Center

**Document Number: 50226** 

Contract Number: 30-05G

Contract Period:

January 1, 2005 through January 31, 2006

Contract Amount: \$650,000

This contract provided funds to Christian Service Center to provide temporary shelter and basis services for homeless persons who are legal residents of the City of St. Louis.

#### Purpose

The purpose of this fiscal monitoring review was to determine Christian Service Center's (Document #50226) compliance with local Affordable Housing Commission requirements for the period January 1, 2005 through January 31, 2006 and make recommendations for improvements.

#### Scope and Methodology

We made inquiries regarding Christian Service Center's internal controls relating to the grant administered by the Affordable Housing Commission (AHC), tested evidence supporting the reports the Agency submitted to AHC and performed other procedures considered necessary. Our fieldwork was completed on October 25, 2006. Management's responses were received on November 14, 2006 and have been incorporated into this report.

#### **CONCLUSION AND SUMMARY OF OBSERVATIONS**

#### Conclusion

Christian Service Center did not fully comply with local AHC requirements.

#### **Status of Prior Observations**

PROJECT: 2007-AHC01

This is the first fiscal monitoring review for the Affordable Housing Commission Program for Christian Service Center. Therefore, the Agency does not have prior observations.

#### **Summary of Current Observations**

We made recommendations for the following observations, which if implemented, could assist Christian Service Center in fully complying with local Affordable Housing Commission requirements.

- 1. The Agency did not maintain adequate time reports for hourly employees.
- 2. The Executive Director signed a disbursement check made out to him.

### <u>DETAILED OBSERVATIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND</u> MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSES

#### 1. The Agency did not Maintain Adequate Time Reports for Hourly Employees

Sound internal controls would require the Agency to maintain adequate time records for all employees. The Agency uses a time clock for hourly employees to clock in and out of work. During our review, we noted that pay periods were written on top of the card, but the month stamped on the card was not consistent with the time period. We also noted that some of the end times were handwritten and not approved by management. These time cards also did not show documentation of management approval. This can result in erroneous financial reporting, because time spent and level of effort to the program is not properly documented.

#### Recommendation

We recommend the Agency fix the time clock or develop a new method to record time worked by employees.

#### Management's Response

The observation by the Fiscal Monitors regarding the agency's time clock was accurate. The time clock has now been repaired. It was observed by the Fiscal Monitors that "some of the end times were handwritten and not approved by management." While some of the entry/exit times were handwritten, all such entries were initialed or otherwise approved by management. It was observed by the Fiscal Monitors that "these time cards also did not show documentation of management approval." The system employed by the agency is such that the Operations Director calculates all time in pencil. All times are then checked by the executive director in red ink, and the totals are recorded in red ink. Such indicates management monitoring and approval.

#### **Auditor's Response**

The approving officer's signature on time reports would enhance internal controls.

### <u>DETAILED OBSERVATIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSES</u>

#### 2. The Executive Director Signed a Disbursement Check Made out to Himself

Sound internal controls would require the Agency to have an adequate amount of authorized check signers for any check payable to an Officer of the Agency, who is also an authorized check signer, to avoid any sign of impropriety. The Executive Director signed for a \$1,500 expense check made payable to himself. This check was for one-half of the Director's annual expense allowance according to the check memo. There was no other supporting documentation in the files. We noted this was a direct violation of the Agency's policies and procedures. This gives the appearance of a lack of internal controls for disbursements.

#### Recommendation

We recommend the Agency require two different signatures made payable to any officer of the Agency.

#### Management's Response

The observation by the Fiscal Monitors regarding this check is accurate. It should be noted that all checks are accompanied by complete supporting documentation. During the period monitored, the agency was without a business manager who normally handled the issuance of these types of checks. This matter is in the process of being rectified.

#### **Auditor's Comment**

PROJECT: 2007-AHC01

Disbursement checks, such as the above expense, made payable to the Executive Director, Director of Operations or the Office Manager should be approved by a member of the Board of Directors, for example, the President or the Treasurer. This should be documented.